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The general problem

Consider the randomly weighted sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i X_i$, with

- $\{X_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.'s;
- $\{\theta_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ a sequence of non-negative dependent r.v.'s;
- the sequences $\{X_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\{\theta_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ being independent.

We want to investigate its tail probability and functionals (risk measures) thereof.
The general problem: interpretation

- $X_n$: represents the net loss or payoff of an insurance or financial product (or portfolio, line of business, conglomerate,...) in (development) year $n$.
  - Is assumed to be independent across time.
  - In insurance, typically heavy-tailed.

- $\theta_n$: represents the stochastic discount factor for year $n$.
  - Case 1: $\theta_n = Y_1 \cdots Y_n$, with $\{Y_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ a sequence of non-negative i.i.d. r.v.'s.
  - Case 2: no assumption on the dependence structure. Includes e.g., GARCH models.
The general problem: possible solutions

- Monte Carlo simulation;

- Easy-computable bounds or approximations \textit{à la} Roger & Shi (1995);

- Asymptotics.
Classes of heavy-tailed distributions [1]

• Class $S$:

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{F^*n(x)}{F(x)} = n,$$

for any (or equivalently, for some) $n \geq 2$.

• Class $L$:

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{F(x + y)}{F(x)} = 1,$$

for any real number $y$ (or equivalently, for $y = 1$).
Classes of heavy-tailed distributions [2]

• Class $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\limsup_{x \to +\infty} \frac{F(xy)}{F(x)} < +\infty,$$

for any $0 < y < 1$ (or equivalently for some $0 < y < 1$).

• $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$; see e.g., Embrechts, Klüppelberg & Mikosch (1997).
Classes of heavy-tailed distributions [3]

- Class $\mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$:
  \[
  \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{F(xy)}{F(x)} = y^{-\alpha},
  \]
  for any $y > 0$.

- Class $\mathcal{R}_{-\infty}$:
  \[
  \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{F(xy)}{F(x)} = \begin{cases} 
  0, & y > 1; \\
  +\infty, & 0 < y < 1.
  \end{cases}
  \]
Asymptotic results [1]

Let

- \{Y_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\} i.i.d. supported on \((0, +\infty)\);
- \(Z_n := Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_n\);
- \(0 < a_n < +\infty, n = 1, 2, \ldots\)

If \(F_Y \in S \cap \mathcal{R}_{-\infty}\), then it holds for each \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\) that

\[
P \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i Z_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} P (a_i Z_i > x). \]
Asymptotic results [2]

Let

- \( \{X_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\} \) i.i.d. supported on \((-\infty, +\infty)\).

If \( F_X \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{L} \) and \( F_Y \in \mathcal{R}_{-\infty} \), then it holds for each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) that

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + X_i)Z_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}( (a_i + X)Z_i > x )
\]

and that

\[
\mathbb{P}\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_iX_i)Z_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}( (a_iX)Z_i > x ).
\]
Asymptotic results [3]

If $X$ and $Y$ follow a lognormal law with $\sigma_Y < \sigma_X$, then it holds for each $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + X_i)Z_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left( (a_i + X)Z_i > x \right)
$$

and that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_iX_i)Z_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left( (a_iX)Z_i > x \right).
$$
Asymptotic results [4]

Let

- \{\theta_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots\} non-negative and dependent.

If \( F_X \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \) and there exists some \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \mathbb{E}[\theta_i^{\alpha+\delta}] < +\infty \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), then it holds for each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) that

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i X_i > x \right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P} (\theta_i X > x) \\
\sim F(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\theta_i^{\alpha}].
\]

Holds even uniformly for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots; \) see Wang (2005).
Example: Stop-loss premium and Value-at-Risk [1]

Let $\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i X_i$. Then

- **Stop-loss premium:**

  $$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{S}_n - d)_+] \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(\theta_i X - d)_+]$$.

- **VaR:**

  $$\inf\{s : F_{\tilde{S}_n}(s) \geq p\} \approx \inf \left\{ s : \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{\theta_i X}(s) \leq 1 - p \right\}.$$
Example: Stop-loss premium and Value-at-Risk [2]

Furthermore, let $F_X \in \mathcal{R}_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then

- **Stop-loss premium:**
  \[ \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{S}_n - d)_+] \approx \mathbb{E}[(X - d)_+] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\theta_i^\alpha]. \]

- **VaR:**
  \[ \inf \{ s : F_{\tilde{S}_n}(s) \geq p \} \approx \inf \left\{ s : \overline{F}_X(s) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\theta_i^\alpha] \leq 1 - p \right\}. \]
Example: Stop-loss premium and Value-at-Risk [3]

• $\theta_n = Y_1 \cdots Y_n$, i.i.d.: $\mathbb{E}[\theta_n^\alpha] = \mathbb{E}[Y^\alpha]^n$.

• $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \overset{d}{=} \text{LE}_n(\mu_n, \Sigma_n, \phi)$: $\mathbb{E}[\theta_n^\alpha]$ is explicit; see e.g., Fang, Kotz & Ng (1990) and Owen & Rabinovitch (1983).

• $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \overset{d}{=} \text{LNVM}_n(\mu_n, \beta_n, \Sigma_n, G)$: $\mathbb{E}[\theta_n^\alpha]$ is explicit; see e.g., Barndorff-Nielsen (1997).
## A numerical illustration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “Real” versus approximate values of stop-loss premiums and quantiles for Pareto losses and i.i.d. lognormal stochastic discount factors. Fixed parameter values: $n = 5$, $\alpha = 1.5$, $\mu = -0.04$, $\sigma = 0.10$ and 5,000,000 simulations.

Analytic approximations!
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